

On massive spin 2 electromagnetic interactions

Yu. M. Zinoviev *

*Institute for High Energy Physics
Protvino, Moscow Region, 142280, Russia*

Abstract

In this paper we investigate electromagnetic interactions for massive spin 2 particles in $(A)dS$ space at linear approximation using gauge invariant description for such massive particles. We follow bottom-up approach, i.e. we begin with the introduction of minimal interaction and then proceed by adding non-minimal interactions with higher and higher number of derivatives together with corresponding non-minimal corrections to gauge transformations until we are able to restore gauge invariance broken by transition to gauge covariant derivatives. We managed to construct a model that smoothly interpolates between massless particle in $(A)dS$ space and massive one in a flat Minkowski space. Also we reproduce the same results in a frame-like formalism which can be more suitable for generalizations on higher spins.

*E-mail address: Yuri.Zinoviev@ihep.ru

Introduction

It has been known since a long time that it is not possible to construct standard gravitational interaction for massless higher spin $s \geq 5/2$ particles in flat Minkowski space [1, 2, 3] (see also recent discussion in [4]). At the same time, it has been shown [5, 6] that this task indeed has a solution in $(A)dS$ space with non-zero cosmological term. The reason is that gauge invariance, that turns out to be broken when one replaces ordinary partial derivatives by the gravitational covariant ones, could be restored with the introduction of higher derivative corrections containing gauge invariant Riemann tensor. These corrections have coefficients proportional to inverse powers of cosmological constant so that such theories do not have naive flat limit. However it is perfectly possible, for cubic vertices, to have a limit where both cosmological term and gravitational coupling constant simultaneously go to zero in such a way that only interactions with highest number of derivatives survive [7, 9]. Besides all, it means that the procedure can be reversed. Namely, one can start with the massless particle in flat Minkowski space and search for non-trivial (i.e. with non-trivial corrections to gauge transformations) higher derivatives cubic $s - s - 2$ vertex containing linearized Riemann tensor. Then, considering smooth deformation into $(A)dS$ space, one can try to reproduce standard minimal gravitational interaction as a by product of such deformation. Recently we have shown that such procedure is indeed possible on the example of massless spin 3 particle [7] using cubic four derivatives $3 - 3 - 2$ vertex constructed in [8] (see also [9] where this vertex was reconsidered and an appropriate one for $s = 4$ case has been constructed).

Besides gravitational interaction one more classical and important test for any higher spin theory is electromagnetic interaction. The problem of switching on such interaction for massless higher spin particles looks very similar to the problem with gravitational interactions. Namely, if one replaces ordinary partial derivatives by the gauge covariant ones the resulting Lagrangian loses its gauge invariance and this non-invariance (arising due to non-commutativity of covariant derivatives) is proportional to field strength of vector field. In this, for the massless fields with $s \geq 3/2$ in flat Minkowski space there is no possibility to restore gauge invariance by adding non-minimal terms to Lagrangian and/or modifying gauge transformations. But such restoration becomes possible if one goes to $(A)dS$ space with non-zero cosmological constant. By the same reason, as in the gravitational case, such theories do not have naive flat limit, but it is possible to consider a limit where both cosmological constant and electric charge simultaneously go to zero so that only highest derivative non-minimal terms survive. Again it should be possible to reproduce standard minimal e/m interaction starting with some non-trivial cubic higher derivatives $s - s - 1$ vertex containing e/m field strength and considering its smooth deformation into $(A)dS$ space. An example of such procedure for massless spin 2 particle has been given recently in [10], while candidate for appropriate $s - s - 1$ vertex was given in [9].

In all investigations of massless particles interactions gauge invariance plays a crucial role. Not only it determines a kinematic structure of free theory and guarantees a right number of physical degrees of freedom, but also to a large extent it fixes all possible interactions of such particles. This leads, in particular, to formulation of so-called constructive approach for investigation of massless particles models [11, 12, 13, 3, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 9]. In this approach one starts with free Lagrangian for the collection of massless fields with appropriate gauge transformations and tries to construct interacting Lagrangian and modified gauge

transformations iteratively by the number of fields so that:

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2 + \dots, \quad \delta \sim \delta_0 + \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \dots$$

where $\mathcal{L}_1$ — cubic vertex, $\mathcal{L}_2$ — quartic one and so on, while δ_1 — corrections to gauge transformations linear in fields, δ_2 — quadratic in fields and so on. In-particular, such approach allows one to consistently reproduce such physically important theories as Yang-Mills, gravity and supergravity.

It is natural to suggest that in any realistic higher spin theory (like in superstring) most of higher spin particles must be massive and their gauge symmetries spontaneously broken. But common description of massive fields does not possess gauge invariance. Instead, it requires that some constraints must follow from equations of motion excluding all unphysical degrees of freedom. In this, at least two general problems appear then one tries to switch on interactions. First of all, a number of constraints could change thus leading to a change in the number of degrees of freedom and reappearing of unphysical ones. Secondly, even if a number of constraints remains the same as in free theory, interacting theory very often turns out to be non-causal, i.e. has solutions corresponding to super-luminal propagation [22, 25, 26, 27, 23, 24]. It is hard to formulate one simple principle for constructing consistent theories with such particles. A number of different requirements, such as conservation of right number of physical degrees of freedom, smooth massless limit, tree level unitarity and causality, was used in the past [19, 20, 21, 25, 26, 27, 23, 24].

There exist two well known classes of consistent models for massive high spin particles, namely, for massive non-Abelian spin 1 particles and for massive spin 3/2 ones. In both cases masses of gauge fields appear as a result of spontaneous gauge symmetry breaking. One of the main ingredients of this mechanism is the appearance of Goldstone particles with non-homogeneous gauge transformations. This, in turn, leads to the gauge invariant description of such massive spin 1 and spin 3/2 particles. But such gauge invariant description of massive particles could be constructed for higher spins as well. There are at least two basic approaches to such description. One of them is based on the powerful BRST method [28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33]. Another one appeared as an attempt to generalize to higher spins a mechanism of spontaneous gauge symmetry breaking [34, 35, 36, 37] (see also [38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43]). In such a breaking a set of Goldstone fields with non-homogeneous gauge transformations appear making gauge invariant description of massive gauge fields possible. Such gauge invariant description of massive fields works well not only in flat Minkowski space-time, but in (anti) de Sitter space-times as well. All that one needs to do is to replace ordinary partial derivatives with the covariant ones and take into account commutator of these derivatives which is non-zero now. In particular, this formulation turns out to be very convenient for investigation of so-called partially massless theories which appear in de Sitter space [44, 45, 46, 36, 47, 48]. The mere existence of gauge invariant formulation for massive higher spin particles allows us to extend the constructive approach for any collection of massive and/or massless particles, see e.g. [35, 49, 50, 7].

In a gauge invariant formalism the problem of switching on gravitational or electromagnetic interactions for massive particles looks very similar to that for the massless ones. Namely, introduction of minimal interactions by the replacement of ordinary partial derivatives by the covariant ones spoils the invariance of the Lagrangian under gauge transformations. Having at our disposal mass m as a dimensionfull parameter even in a flat Minkowski

space we can try to restore broken gauge invariance by adding to the Lagrangian non-minimal terms containing the linearized Riemann tensor (e/m field strength) as well as corresponding non-minimal corrections to gauge transformations. Naturally such terms will have coefficients proportional to inverse powers of mass m so that the theory will not have naive massless limit. However, it is natural to suggest that there exists a limit where both mass and gravitational coupling constant (electric charge) simultaneously go to zero so that only some interactions containing Riemann tensor (e/m field strength) survive. In this, an interesting and important question is the relation between flat space limit for massless particles in $(A)dS$ space and massless limit for massive particles in flat Minkowski space. To understand such relation (if any) it is important to consider general case — massive particles in $(A)dS$ space with arbitrary cosmological constant.

The first step towards the construction of gravitational interactions for massive spin 3 particles was performed in [7], while in the Section 1 we give simple but illustrative example of electromagnetic interactions for massive spin 3/2 particles. The main purpose of this paper is to investigate electromagnetic interaction for massive spin 2 particles in $(A)dS$ space. In Section 2 we begin with the metric-like formulation where the main gauge field is a symmetric second rank tensor $h_{(\mu\nu)}$, while vector B_μ and scalar φ fields play the role of Goldstone ones. We follow the bottom-up approach, i.e. we begin with the introduction of minimal interaction and then add non-minimal terms with higher and higher number of derivatives until we are able to restore broken gauge invariance. The first such possibility arises when we add to the Lagrangian terms with two derivatives as well as one derivative corrections to gauge transformations. Such a model gives a generalization of our previous results [35] to the case of arbitrary $(A)dS$ space. But it turns out that for any non-zero value of cosmological constant such model is singular in the massless limit. So we proceed with three derivatives vertices in the Lagrangian and two derivatives corrections to gauge transformations. Among all solutions there is one unambiguous model having non-singular massless limit. In this model we obtain the following relation for the electric charge, mass and cosmological constant:

$$e_0 = -a_0[m^2 - \kappa(d-2)]\frac{d-3}{d-2}$$

where a_0 — coupling constant for main three derivatives vertex having dimension $1/m^2$. Let us stress that that the main three derivatives $2-2-1$ vertex is exactly the same as in [10], so such model indeed smoothly interpolates between massless particle in $(A)dS$ space and massive one in a flat Minkowski space.

As is well known, basically there are two approaches for description of gravity theory — metric one, where the main object is symmetric metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$, and tetrad one with tetrad e_μ^a and Lorentz connection ω_μ^{ab} . These two approaches admit natural generalization for description of higher spin particles. Generalization of metric approach has been constructed in [51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56], while generalization of tetrad approach, the so-called frame-like formalism, has been constructed in [57, 58, 59] (see also [60, 61, 39, 62, 63, 47, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70]). In Section 3 we reproduce the results of previous section using frame-like gauge invariant formulation for massive particles in $(A)dS$ space [39, 67]. The main reason is that frame-like formulation being elegant and geometric in nature could be more suitable for generalizations on higher spins.

1 Example with spin 3/2

In this section as a simple but instructive example we consider electromagnetic interactions of massive spin 3/2 particle (see also [23, 24]). We will work in general $(A)dS_4$ space with arbitrary cosmological constant and use the following conventions on $(A)dS$ covariant derivatives acting on spinors:

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu]\eta = -\frac{\kappa}{2}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\eta, \quad \kappa = \frac{2\Lambda}{(d-1)(d-2)} = \frac{\Lambda}{3}, \quad \sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu] \quad (1)$$

To construct a gauge invariant description for massive spin 3/2 particle we need vector-spinor Ψ_μ as well as spinor χ . It is easy to check that the following Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\bar{\Psi}_\mu\gamma_5\gamma_\nu D_\alpha\Psi_\beta + \frac{i}{2}\bar{\chi}\hat{D}\chi + \frac{M}{2}\bar{\Psi}_\mu\sigma^{\mu\nu}\Psi_\nu + i\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}m(\bar{\Psi}\gamma)\chi + M\bar{\chi}\chi \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{D} = \gamma^\mu D_\mu$, $M = \sqrt{m^2 - \kappa}$, is invariant under the following local gauge transformations:

$$\delta_0\Psi_\mu = D_\mu\eta - \frac{iM}{2}\gamma_\mu\eta \quad \delta_0\chi = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}m\eta \quad (3)$$

Recall that in a de Sitter space ($\kappa > 0$) we have unitary forbidden region $m^2 < \kappa$ (see e.g. [71]).

Now let us introduce minimal electromagnetic interaction. We prefer to work with Majorana spinors so in what follows we will assume that all spinor objects are doublets:

$$\Psi_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_\mu^1 \\ \Psi_\mu^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi^1 \\ \chi^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} \eta^1 \\ \eta^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we replace $(A)dS$ covariant derivatives by the fully covariant ones:

$$D_\mu \Rightarrow \nabla_\mu = D_\mu + e_0 q A_\mu, \quad q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad q^2 = -I \quad (4)$$

As usual such replacement breaks the invariance of the Lagrangian under the local gauge transformations and we obtain:

$$\delta_0\mathcal{L}_0 = ie_0\bar{\Psi}_\mu q \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\gamma_\nu\eta, \quad \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta} \quad (5)$$

So we will try to restore broken invariance (at least in the linear approximation) by adding to the Lagrangian the most general non-minimal terms, containing electromagnetic field strength:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 = & \frac{1}{2}\bar{\Psi}_\mu \left[a_1 F^{\mu\nu} + a_2 \gamma_5 \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} + a_3 g^{\mu\nu}(\sigma F) + a_4 (F^{\mu\alpha}\sigma_\alpha{}^\nu + \sigma^{\mu\alpha}F_\alpha{}^\nu) \right] q\Psi_\nu + \\ & + i\bar{\Psi}_\mu (a_5 F^{\mu\nu} + a_6 \gamma_5 \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})\gamma_\nu q\chi + \frac{a_7}{2}\bar{\chi}q(\sigma F)\chi \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

as well as the most general corrections to gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_1 \Psi_\mu &= iq(\alpha_1 F_{\mu\nu} + \alpha_2 \gamma_5 \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}) \gamma^\nu \eta & \delta_1 \chi &= q\alpha_3 (\sigma F) \eta \\ \delta_1 A_\mu &= \alpha_4 (\bar{\Psi}_\mu q \eta) + i\alpha_5 (\bar{\chi} \gamma_\mu q \eta)\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

First of all we calculate all variations with two derivatives and require their cancellation. Simple calculations give:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_2 &= \alpha_1, & \alpha_4 &= 2\alpha_1, & \alpha_5 &= -2\alpha_3 \\ a_1 &= -a_2 = -2\alpha_1, & a_3 &= a_4 = 0, & a_5 &= a_6 = -2\alpha_3\end{aligned}$$

In this, non-minimal Lagrangian and appropriate corrections to gauge transformations take the form familiar from supergravity models:

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = -\alpha_1 \bar{\Psi}_\mu (F^{\mu\nu} - \gamma_5 \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}) q \Psi_\nu + i\alpha_3 \bar{\Psi}_\mu (\sigma F) \gamma^\mu q \chi + \frac{a_7}{2} \bar{\chi} q (\sigma F) \chi\tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_1 \Psi_\mu &= -\frac{i\alpha_1}{2} q (\sigma F) \gamma_\mu \eta & \delta_1 \chi &= q\alpha_3 (\sigma F) \eta \\ \delta_1 A_\mu &= 2\alpha_1 (\bar{\Psi}_\mu q \eta) - 2i\alpha_3 (\bar{\chi} \gamma_\mu q \eta)\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

At last cancellation of variations with one derivative (taking into account term coming from the introduction of minimal interactions) gives:

$$e_0 = 2\alpha_1 M + 2\sqrt{6}\alpha_3 m, \quad a_7 = -\frac{4M}{\sqrt{6}m}\alpha_3$$

A few comments are in order.

- If we calculate a commutator of two gauge transformations we obtain e.g.:

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2] A_\mu = -4i(\alpha_1^2 + 2\alpha_3^2)(\bar{\eta}_2 \gamma^\nu \eta_1) F_{\nu\mu}\tag{10}$$

This means that for non-zero value of electric charge e_0 any such model must be a part of some (spontaneously broken) supergravity theory.

- From the supergravity point of view the meaning of two parameters α_1 and α_3 is clear: in the most general case our vector field can be a linear combination of graviphoton (with vector-spinor Ψ_μ as a superpartner) and some vector field from vector supermultiplet (with spinor superpartner).
- From the expression for the parameter a_7 above, one can see that in general there is an ambiguity between massless and flat limits (see also [72]). Indeed, in the flat Minkowski space we obtain $a_7 = -4\alpha_3/\sqrt{6}$ and nothing prevents us from considering massless limit $m \rightarrow 0$. But for any non-zero cosmological constant the expression for a_7 is singular in the massless limit.
- The most simple model free from such ambiguity is the case $\alpha_3 = 0$, i.e. our photon is a pure graviphoton. In this case an effective electric charge is given by $e_0 = 2\alpha_1 M$ so that it becomes equal to zero exactly at the boundary of unitary allowed region.

2 Metric-like formalism

In this section we consider electromagnetic interaction for massive spin 2 particles in $(A)dS_d$ space with arbitrary cosmological constant using metric-like gauge invariant formalism [36]. We need three fields: symmetric second rank tensor $h_{\mu\nu}$, vector B_μ and scalar φ ones. As is well known, even for massless spin 2 particles in $(A)dS$ space gauge invariance requires introduction of mass-like terms into Lagrangian. So in what follows we will organize the calculations just by the number of derivatives. For example, gauge invariant Lagrangian for free massive spin 2 particle will be written as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L}_{02} + \mathcal{L}_{01} + \mathcal{L}_{00}$$

where first index '0' means free (quadratic in fields) theory, while the second one denotes a number of derivatives. Note also that due to non-commutativity of $(A)dS$ covariant derivatives there is some ambiguity in the structure of kinetic terms for massless spin 2 particle. We will use the following concrete choice:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{02} = & \frac{1}{2}D^\alpha h^{\mu\nu} D_\alpha h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}D^\alpha h^{\mu\nu} D_\mu h_{\nu\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}(Dh)^\mu (Dh)_\mu + (Dh)^\mu D_\mu h - \frac{1}{2}D^\mu h D_\mu h - \\ & - \frac{1}{2}(D_\mu B_\nu - D_\nu B_\mu)^2 + \frac{2(d-1)}{d-2}(D_\mu \varphi)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{01} = 2m(h^{\mu\nu} D_\mu B_\nu - h(DB)) + \frac{4(d-1)M}{d-2}(DB)\varphi \quad (12)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{00} = -\frac{M^2}{2}(h^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu} - h^2) - \frac{2(d-1)mM}{d-2}h\varphi + \frac{2d(d-1)m^2}{(d-2)^2}\varphi^2 - 2\kappa(d-1)B_\mu^2 \quad (13)$$

where $M^2 = m^2 - \kappa(d-2)$. Recall that in de Sitter space ($\kappa > 0$) we again have unitary forbidden region $m^2 < \kappa(d-2)$. Similarly, the gauge transformations leaving this Lagrangian invariant will be written as follows

$$\delta_0 = \delta_{01} + \delta_{00}$$

$$\delta_{01}h_{\mu\nu} = D_\mu \xi_\nu + D_\nu \xi_\mu, \quad \delta_{01}B_\mu = D_\mu \lambda \quad (14)$$

$$\delta_{00}h_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2m}{d-2}g_{\mu\nu}\lambda, \quad \delta_{00}B_\mu = m\xi_\mu, \quad \delta_{00}\varphi = M\lambda \quad (15)$$

where again first index '0' means initial (non-homogeneous) gauge transformations, while the second one denotes a number of derivatives. As for the $(A)dS$ covariant derivatives in this section we will use the following normalization:

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu]v_\alpha = R_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}v^\beta = -\kappa(g_{\mu\alpha}v_\nu - g_{\nu\alpha}v_\mu), \quad \kappa = \frac{2\Lambda}{(d-1)(d-2)} \quad (16)$$

Recall that one of the nice features of gauge invariant formulation for massive fields is that it admits a smooth massless limit. Indeed, if we consider the limit $m \rightarrow 0$ for non-zero value of cosmological constant the total Lagrangian decomposes into the sum of free Lagrangians describing massless spin 2 and massive spin 1 particles (or into the sum of massless Lagrangians for spin 2, 1 and 0 particles in flat case). In this, total number of

physical degrees of freedom remains the same as in massive case. Note that working with such description one is often used to "eliminate" additional fields by simply setting them to 0. Such procedure may be useful as a simple and quick way to check the number of degrees of freedom and we will use it in the Conclusion to show that interacting model constructed in this paper does have correct number of degrees of freedom. Let us stress however that such simplified procedure does not "commute" with taking massless limit. Indeed, if we simply set vector and scalar fields to 0 and then consider massless limit we will get massless spin 2 theory without any trace of other degrees of freedom. As usual in any gauge invariant theory, the rigorous way consists of complete analysis of all first class constraints and appropriate gauge fixing.

Now let us introduce minimal electromagnetic interaction. First of all we add to our Lagrangian usual kinetic terms for e/m field:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0 - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2$$

We prefer to work with real fields so we will assume that all our fields are real doublets $h_{\mu\nu}^i$, B_μ^i and φ^i where $i = 1, 2$. Thus we replace all derivatives in the Lagrangian and gauge transformations by fully covariant ones:

$$D_\mu \xi_\nu^i \rightarrow D_\mu \xi_\nu^i - e_0 \varepsilon^{ij} A_\mu \xi_\nu^j$$

As usual such replacement spoils the invariance of the Lagrangian under gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0 \mathcal{L}_0 = & e_0 \varepsilon^{ij} [-3 F_{\mu\nu} D_\mu h_{\nu\alpha}^i - 3 (Dh)_\mu^i F_{\mu\alpha} + 3 D_\mu h^i F_{\mu\alpha} - h_{\mu\nu}^i D_\mu F_{\nu\alpha} - (DF)_\mu h_{\mu\alpha}^i + \\ & + (DF)_\alpha h^i - 4m B_\mu^i F_{\mu\alpha}] \xi_\alpha^j + e_0 \varepsilon^{ij} B_{\mu\nu}^i F_{\mu\nu} \lambda^j \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = D_\mu A_\nu - D_\nu A_\mu$.

So we will try to restore broken gauge invariance by adding non-minimal terms containing e/m field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ to the Lagrangian as well as appropriate corrections to gauge transformations. The simplest possibility is to add all possible terms with one derivative:

$$\mathcal{L}_{11} = \varepsilon^{ij} F_{\mu\nu} [a_1 h_{\mu\alpha}^i h_{\nu\alpha}^j + a_2 B_\mu^i B_\nu^j] \quad (18)$$

as well as the most general corrections to gauge transformations without derivatives:

$$\delta_{10} A_\mu = \varepsilon^{ij} [\alpha_1 h_{\mu\nu}^i \xi_\nu^j + \alpha_2 h^i \xi_\mu^j + \alpha_3 \varphi^i \xi_\mu^j + \alpha_4 B_\mu^i \lambda^j] \quad (19)$$

but it could be easily checked that it is impossible to achieve gauge invariance by adjusting parameters $a_{1,2}$ and $\alpha_{1,2,3,4}$.

Thus we proceed by adding all possible two derivatives terms to the Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{12} = & \varepsilon^{ij} F^{\mu\nu} [b_1 D_\mu h_{\nu\alpha}^i B_\alpha^j + b_2 (Dh)_\mu^i B_\nu^j + b_3 D_\mu h^i B_\nu^j + \\ & + b_4 h^i B_{\mu\nu}^j + b_5 h_{\mu\alpha}^i D_\nu B_\alpha^j + b_6 h_{\mu\alpha}^i D_\alpha B_\nu^j + \\ & + b_7 D_\mu \varphi^i B_\nu^j + b_8 B_{\mu\nu}^i \varphi^j] \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where $B_{\mu\nu}^i = D_\mu B_\nu^i - D_\nu B_\mu^i$, as well as the most general corrections to gauge transformations with one derivative:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{11}B_\mu^i &= \beta_0\varepsilon^{ij}F_{\mu\nu}\xi_\nu^j \\ \delta_{11}A_\mu &= \varepsilon^{ij}[\beta_1D_\mu B_\nu^i\xi_\nu^j + \beta_2D_\nu B_\mu^i\xi_\nu^j + \beta_3(DB)^i\xi_\mu^j + \\ &\quad + \beta_4B_\nu^iD_\nu\xi_\mu^j + \beta_5B_\nu^iD_\mu\xi_\nu^j + \beta_6B_\mu^i(D\xi)^j + \\ &\quad + \rho_1(Dh)_\mu^i\lambda^j + \rho_2D_\mu h^i\lambda^j + \rho_3D_\mu\varphi^i\lambda^j + \\ &\quad + \rho_4h_{\mu\nu}^iD_\nu\lambda^j + \rho_5h^iD_\nu\lambda^j + \rho_6\varphi^iD_\mu\lambda^j]\end{aligned}\quad (21)$$

Note that due to gauge invariance of the free Lagrangian gauge transformations in this linear approximation are defined up to possible field dependent free gauge transformations $\delta A_\mu \sim D_\mu X$ only. In other words, gauge transformations are always defined up to possible redefinitions of gauge parameters. In linear approximation for massless fields the choice made does not change anything, though for massive fields the structure of gauge transformations for Goldstone fields does depend on the choice made. In what follows we will always use all possible redefinitions of gauge parameters to bring gauge transformations to as simple form as possible. Here we will use this ambiguity to set $\beta_5 = \rho_2 = \rho_3 = 0$. Also recall that any interaction Lagrangian where the number of derivatives is greater or equal to that of free Lagrangian is always determined up to possible field redefinitions. For the case at hands such redefinitions have the form:

$$A_\mu \Rightarrow A_\mu + \varepsilon^{ij}[\kappa_1 h_{\mu\nu}^i B_\nu^j + \kappa_2 h^i B_\mu^j + \kappa_3 \varphi^i B_\mu^j]$$

In what follows we choose $\rho_4 = \rho_5 = \rho_6 = 0$. First of all we consider variations with three derivatives and require their cancellation:

$$\delta_{01}\mathcal{L}_{12} + \delta_{11}\mathcal{L}_{02} = 0$$

This allows us to express all parameters b , β and ρ in terms of one main parameter β_0 :

$$b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 0, \quad b_4 = -\frac{\beta_0}{2}, \quad b_5 = -2\beta_0, \quad b_6 = 2\beta_0, \quad b_7 = 0$$

$$\beta_1 = -\beta_2 = 2\beta_0, \quad \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0, \quad \rho_1 = 0$$

Then we add terms with one derivative (18) to the Lagrangian as well as corrections without derivatives (19) to the gauge transformations and require cancellation of variations with two and one derivative:

$$\delta_{01}\mathcal{L}_{11} + \delta_{00}\mathcal{L}_{12} + \delta_{11}\mathcal{L}_{01} + \delta_{10}\mathcal{L}_{02} = 0$$

$$\delta_{00}\mathcal{L}_{11} + \delta_{11}\mathcal{L}_{00} + \delta_{10}\mathcal{L}_{01} = 0$$

taking into account terms (17) coming from the introduction of minimal e/m interactions. We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_0 &= -\frac{e_0}{m}, & b_8 &= \frac{2(d-1)e_0M}{(d-2)m^2} \\ a_1 &= \frac{e_0}{2}, & 2a_2 = -\alpha_4 &= \frac{4e_0(m^2 - \kappa(d-1))}{m^2}\end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha_1 = -2e_0, \quad \alpha_2 = 0, \quad \alpha_3 = 2b_8m$$

Collecting all results we see that gauge invariance broken by the introduction of minimal e/m interaction could be restored (in linear approximation) with the introduction of the following non-minimal terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 = & -\frac{2e_0}{m}\varepsilon^{ij}[h_{\mu\nu}^i B_{\mu\alpha}^j F_{\nu\alpha} - \frac{1}{4}h^i B_{\mu\nu}^j F_{\mu\nu}] + b_8\varepsilon^{ij}\varphi^i B_{\mu\nu}^j F_{\mu\nu} + \\ & + \varepsilon^{ij}F_{\mu\nu}[\frac{e_0}{2}h_{\mu\alpha}^i h_{\nu\alpha}^j + a_2 B_{\mu}^i B_{\nu}^j] \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

supplemented with the following corrections for gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 B_{\mu}^i &= -\frac{e_0}{m}\varepsilon^{ij}F_{\mu\nu}\xi_{\nu}^j \\ \delta_1 A_{\mu} &= \varepsilon^{ij}[-\frac{2e_0}{m}B_{\mu\nu}^i \xi_{\nu}^j - 2e_0 h_{\mu\nu}^i \xi_{\nu}^j + 2b_8 m \varphi^i \xi_{\mu}^j + \alpha_4 B_{\mu}^i \lambda^j] \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

In the flat space limit ($\kappa = 0$) these results agree (up to slightly different field normalization) with our previous results in [35] thus providing their generalization into $(A)dS$ space. But from the expressions for the parameters b_8 , a_2 and α_4 above one can see that for any non-zero value of cosmological term κ such model is singular in the limit $m \rightarrow 0$, $e_0 \rightarrow 0$, $e_0/m = \text{const}$. Besides flat space limit there is only one non-singular case corresponding to so-called partially massless spin 2 particles [44, 45, 46, 36]. Indeed, if one put $m^2 = \kappa(d-2)$ the scalar fields φ^i completely decouple. The free Lagrangian for such particle has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 = & \frac{1}{2}[D_{\mu}h_{\alpha\beta}^i D_{\mu}h_{\alpha\beta}^i - (Dh)_{\mu}^i (Dh)_{\mu}^i - D_{\mu}h_{\alpha\beta}^i \partial_{\alpha}h_{\mu\beta}^i + 2(Dh)_{\mu}^i D_{\mu}h^i - D_{\mu}h^i D_{\mu}h^i] - \\ & - \frac{1}{2}B_{\mu\nu}^i B_{\mu\nu}^i + 2m[h_{\mu\nu}^i D_{\mu}B_{\nu}^i - h^i (DB)^i] - 2\kappa(d-1)B_{\mu}^i B_{\mu}^i \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

being invariant under the following gauge transformations:

$$\delta_0 h_{\mu\nu}^i = D_{\mu}\xi_{\nu}^i + D_{\nu}\xi_{\mu}^i + \frac{2m}{d-2}g_{\mu\nu}\lambda^i, \quad \delta_0 B_{\mu}^i = D_{\mu}\lambda^i + m\xi_{\mu}^i \quad (25)$$

In this, non-minimal interactions which are necessary to restore gauge invariance after introduction of minimal e/m interaction look like:

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = -\frac{2e_0}{m}\varepsilon^{ij}[h_{\mu\nu}^i B_{\mu\alpha}^j F_{\nu\alpha} - \frac{1}{4}h^i B_{\mu\nu}^j F_{\mu\nu}] + \varepsilon^{ij}F_{\mu\nu}[\frac{e_0}{2}h_{\mu\alpha}^i h_{\nu\alpha}^j - \frac{2e_0}{d-2}B_{\mu}^i B_{\nu}^j] \quad (26)$$

while appropriate corrections to gauge transformations have the form:

$$\delta_1 B_{\mu}^i = -\frac{e_0}{m}\varepsilon^{ij}F_{\mu\nu}\xi_{\nu}^j, \quad \delta_1 A_{\mu} = \varepsilon^{ij}[-\frac{2e_0}{m}B_{\mu\nu}^i \xi_{\nu}^j - e_0 h_{\mu\nu}^i \xi_{\nu}^j + \frac{4e_0}{d-2}B_{\mu}^i \lambda^j] \quad (27)$$

Let us return back to the general case — massive theory in $(A)dS$ space with arbitrary cosmological term. As we have recently shown [10] to obtain e/m interactions for massless spin 2 particles in AdS space one needs non-minimal interactions with three derivatives. So it seems natural to suppose that to construct massive theory having non-singular limit $m \rightarrow 0$ one has to consider all possible corrections up to three derivatives as well.

We begin with the three derivatives vertex that played crucial role for the massless theory [10]:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{13} = & a_0 \varepsilon_{ij} F^{\mu\nu} [-D_\mu h_{\alpha\beta}^i D_\alpha h_{\beta\nu}^j - \frac{1}{2} D_\alpha h_{\beta\mu}^i D_\alpha h_{\beta\nu}^j + D_\alpha h_{\beta\mu}^i D_\beta h_{\alpha\nu}^j + \\ & + \frac{1}{2} D_\mu h_{\alpha\beta}^i D_\nu h_{\alpha\beta}^j - D_\mu h_{\nu\alpha}^i (Dh)_\alpha^j - \frac{1}{2} (Dh)_\mu^i (Dh)_\nu^j + \\ & + (Dh)_\mu^i D_\nu h^j + D_\mu h_{\nu\alpha}^i D_\alpha h^j - \frac{1}{2} D_\mu h^i D_\nu h^j]\end{aligned}\quad (28)$$

together with appropriate corrections to gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{12} h_{\mu\nu}^i &= a_0 \varepsilon^{ij} [\frac{1}{2} (F_{\mu\alpha} D_{[\alpha} \xi_{\nu]}^j + F_{\nu\alpha} D_{[\alpha} \xi_{\mu]}^j) + \frac{1}{d-2} g_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} D_\alpha \xi_\beta^j] \\ \delta_{12} A_\mu &= a_0 \varepsilon_{ij} D_\alpha h_{\beta\mu}^i D_{[\alpha} \xi_{\beta]}^j\end{aligned}\quad (29)$$

Here a_0 — parameter having dimension $1/m^2$. But now we have vector B_μ^i and scalar φ^i as well, so we have to consider possible non-minimal terms containing these fields too. We have found two possible corrections for three derivatives vertex. One of them contains tensor $h_{\mu\nu}^i$ and scalar φ^i fields with the Lagrangian:

$$\Delta_1 \mathcal{L}_{13} = b_0 \varepsilon^{ij} F^{\mu\nu} [2D_\mu h_{\nu\alpha}^i D_\alpha \varphi^j + (Dh)_\mu^i D_\nu \varphi^j - D_\mu h^i D_\nu \varphi^j]$$

with non-trivial corrections to gauge transformations:

$$\Delta \delta_{12} A_\mu = b_0 \varepsilon^{ij} [D_\mu \xi_\nu^i - D_\nu \xi_\mu^i] D_\nu \varphi^j, \quad \delta_{12} \varphi^i = \frac{b_0(d-2)}{4(d-1)} \varepsilon^{ij} F^{\mu\nu} D_\mu \xi_\nu^j$$

The other one is constructed out of gauge invariant field strengths and does not require any corrections to gauge transformations:

$$\Delta_2 \mathcal{L}_{13} = \frac{c_0}{2} \varepsilon^{ij} F^{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\alpha}^i B_{\alpha\nu}^j$$

Here both b_0 and c_0 — parameters having dimension $1/m^2$.

Now we repeat all calculations starting with the highest derivatives terms. The structure of three derivatives vertex and two derivatives gauge transformations is already adjusted so that all variations with four derivatives cancel, but due to non-commutativity of $(A)dS$ covariant derivatives they give terms with two derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{01} \mathcal{L}_{13} + \delta_{12} \mathcal{L}_{02} = & 2a_0 \kappa \varepsilon^{ij} [(d-4) F^{\mu\nu} D_\mu h_{\nu\alpha}^i + (d-3)((Dh)_\mu^i - D_\mu h^i) F^{\mu\alpha}] \xi_\alpha^j + \\ & + 2b_0 \kappa (d-3) D_\mu \varphi^i F^{\mu\nu} \xi_\nu^j\end{aligned}\quad (30)$$

which we have to take into account later. Then we add to Lagrangian terms with two derivatives (20) and corrections to gauge transformations (21) with one derivative and calculate all variations with three derivatives. First of all their cancellation requires that three parameters a_0 , b_0 and c_0 satisfy a relation:

$$m[a_0(d-4) - c_0(d-2)] + Mb_0(d-2) = 0$$

Once again we face an ambiguity between flat space limit and massless limit. Indeed, in a flat space ($\kappa = 0$, $M = m$) we obtain $b_0 = -a_0(d-4)/(d-2) + c_0$ for any mass value m , while for the non-zero cosmological term $b_0 \rightarrow 0$ for $m \rightarrow 0$. We have explicitly checked that solution exists for arbitrary values of a_0 and c_0 , but in what follows we consider unambiguous case $b_0 = 0$, $c_0 = \frac{d-4}{d-2}a_0$ only. In this, all variations with three derivatives cancel provided:

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= -\frac{2a_0m(d-4)}{d-2}, & b_2 &= -b_3 = -\frac{2a_0m(d-3)}{d-2}, \\ b_4 &= -\frac{\beta_0}{2}, & b_5 &= -b_6 = -2\beta_0 \\ \beta_1 &= -\beta_2 = 2\beta_0, & \beta_4 &= -\beta_5 = -\frac{2a_0m}{d-2} \end{aligned}$$

but again non-commutativity of $(A)dS$ covariant derivatives leaves us with:

$$\delta_{01}\mathcal{L}_{12} + \delta_{00}\mathcal{L}_{13} + \delta_{11}\mathcal{L}_{02} + \delta_{12}\mathcal{L}_{01} = \frac{4a_0\kappa m(d^2 - 5d + 7)}{d-2} \varepsilon^{ij} B_\mu^i F^{\mu\nu} \xi_\nu^j \quad (31)$$

At last we add to the Lagrangian terms (18) with one derivative and corrections to gauge transformations (19) without derivatives and calculate all variations with two and one derivative taking into account terms (17) coming from introduction of minimal e/m interaction and terms (30) and (31) due to non-commutativity of covariant derivatives. Their cancellation allows us to express all parameters in terms of two main one: a_0 and β_0 . We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= -\frac{a_0M^2}{2(d-2)} - \frac{\beta_0m}{2} \\ a_2 &= -\frac{2a_0[m^2(d-3) + \kappa]}{d-2} - \frac{2\beta_0[m^2 - \kappa(d-1)]}{m} \\ \alpha_1 &= \frac{2a_0M^2}{d-2} + 2\beta_0m, & \alpha_3 &= -\frac{4\beta_0M(d-1)}{d-2} \\ \alpha_4 &= -\frac{4a_0M^2}{(d-2)^2} + \frac{4\beta_0[m^2 - \kappa(d-1)]}{m} \\ b_8 &= -\frac{a_0M(d-1)(d-4)}{(d-2)^2} - \frac{2\beta_0M(d-1)}{m(d-2)} \\ e_0 &= -\frac{a_0M^2(d-3)}{d-2} - \beta_0m \end{aligned}$$

We see that all parameters get independent additive contributions from our two main parameters a_0 and β_0 . As a result for any non-zero value of β_0 part of the parameters turn out to be singular in the massless limit. The only model that has non-singular massless as well

as flat space limits is the one with $\beta_0 = 0$. The complete cubic vertex for such model has the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_1 = & a_0 \varepsilon_{ij} F^{\mu\nu} \left[-D_\mu h_{\alpha\beta}^i D_\alpha h_{\beta\nu}^j - \frac{1}{2} D_\alpha h_{\beta\mu}^i D_\alpha h_{\beta\nu}^j + D_\alpha h_{\beta\mu}^i D_\beta h_{\alpha\nu}^j + \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{2} D_\mu h_{\alpha\beta}^i D_\nu h_{\alpha\beta}^j - D_\mu h_{\nu\alpha}^i (Dh)_\alpha^j - \frac{1}{2} (Dh)_\mu^i (Dh)_\nu^j + \\
& + (Dh)_\mu^i D_\nu h^j + D_\mu h_{\nu\alpha}^i D_\alpha h^j - \frac{1}{2} D_\mu h^i D_\nu h^j + \frac{d-4}{2(d-2)} B_{\mu\alpha}^i B_{\alpha\nu}^j - \\
& - \frac{2m}{d-2} [(d-4) D_\mu h_{\nu\alpha}^i B_\alpha^j + (d-3) (Dh)_\mu^i B_\nu^j - (d-3) D_\mu h^i B_\nu^j] - \\
& - \frac{M(d-1)(d-4)}{(d-2)^2} B_{\mu\nu}^i \varphi^j - \frac{M^2}{2(d-2)} h_{\mu\alpha}^i h_{\nu\alpha}^j - \\
& \left. - \frac{2[m^2(d-3) + \kappa]}{d-2} B_\mu^i B_\nu^j \right] \quad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

while non-minimal corrections to gauge transformations look like:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_1 A_\mu = & a_0 \varepsilon_{ij} [D_\alpha h_{\beta\mu}^i D_{[\alpha} \xi_{\beta]}^j + \frac{2m}{d-2} B_\nu^i D_{[\mu} \xi_{\nu]}^j + \\
& + \frac{2M^2}{d-2} h_{\mu\nu}^i \xi_\nu^j - \frac{4M^2}{(d-2)^2} B_\mu^i \lambda^j] \quad (33) \\
\delta_1 h_{\mu\nu}^i = & a_0 \varepsilon^{ij} \left[\frac{1}{2} (F_{\mu\alpha} D_{[\alpha} \xi_{\nu]}^j + F_{\nu\alpha} D_{[\alpha} \xi_{\mu]}^j) + \frac{1}{d-2} g_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} D_\alpha \xi_{\beta}^j \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Thus this model is a straightforward and relatively simple generalization of our model [10] for the massless particle in $(A)dS$ space for the case of non-zero mass m , in this the same cubic three derivatives vertex plays the main role. Note also that in such model effective electric charge $e_0 = -a_0[m^2 - \kappa(d-2)](d-3)/(d-2)$ becomes equal to zero exactly at the boundary of unitary allowed region.

3 Frame-like formalism

In this section we reproduce the results of previous one using frame-like gauge invariant formulation for massive spin 2 particle in $(A)dS$ space [39, 67]. Such formulation being elegant and geometric could be more compact and more suggestive for possible generalizations on higher spins.

For the frame-like gauge invariant description of massive spin 2 particle one needs three pairs of physical and auxiliary fields: $(\omega_\mu^{ab}, h_\mu^a)$, (C^{ab}, B_μ) and (π^a, φ) . The Lagrangian for the free massive spin 2 particle has the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_0 = & \frac{1}{2} \{ \begin{smallmatrix} \mu\nu \\ ab \end{smallmatrix} \} \omega_\mu^{ac} \omega_\nu^{bc} - \frac{1}{2} \{ \begin{smallmatrix} \mu\nu\alpha \\ abc \end{smallmatrix} \} \omega_\mu^{ab} D_\nu h_\alpha^c + \frac{1}{8} C_{ab}^2 - \frac{1}{4} \{ \begin{smallmatrix} \mu\nu \\ ab \end{smallmatrix} \} C^{ab} D_\mu B_\nu - \\
& - \frac{d-1}{2(d-2)} \pi_a^2 + \frac{d-1}{d-2} \{ \begin{smallmatrix} \mu \\ a \end{smallmatrix} \} \pi^a D_\mu \varphi
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{m}{2} [\{^{\mu\nu}_{ab}\} \omega_\mu^{ab} B_\nu + \{^\mu_a\} C^{ab} h_\mu^b] - \frac{d-1}{d-2} M \{^\mu_a\} \pi^a B_\mu + \\
& + \frac{M^2}{2} \{^{\mu\nu}_{ab}\} h_\mu^a h_\nu^b - \frac{d-1}{d-2} m M \{^\mu_a\} h_\mu^a \varphi + \frac{d(d-1)}{2(d-2)^2} m^2 \varphi^2
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where $\{^{\mu\nu}_{ab}\} = e^\mu_a e^\nu_b - e^\mu_b e^\nu_a$ and so on, being invariant under the following set of initial gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_0 h_\mu^a &= D_\mu \xi^a + \frac{m}{d-2} e_\mu^a \xi, & \delta_0 \omega_\mu^{ab} &= D_\mu \eta^{ab} - \frac{M^2}{d-2} e_\mu^{[a} \xi^{b]} \\
\delta_0 B_\mu &= D_\mu \xi + m \xi_\mu, & \delta_0 C^{ab} &= -2m \eta^{ab}, \\
\delta_0 \varphi &= M \xi, & \delta_0 \pi^a &= -m M \xi^a
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where $M^2 = m^2 - \kappa(d-2)$.

Using frame-like formulation in linear approximation one is always face an ambiguity related to the fact that there are terms in the Lagrangian and gauge transformations which differ by terms proportional to algebraic equations for auxiliary fields (ω_μ^{ab} , C^{ab} and π^a for the case at hands). Any such Lagrangians are equivalent in this approximation but if one goes beyond linear level things could be more complicated or simpler depending on the choice made. In what follows we will use a kind of $1\frac{1}{2}$ order formalism very well known from supergravity. Namely, we will not consider any corrections to gauge transformations for auxiliary fields ω_μ^{ab} , C^{ab} and π^a (usually they have the most complicated form), instead we will require that all variations in the linear approximation cancel up to the terms proportional to their free algebraic equations only. The solutions of these free equations have the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{ab} &= D_{[a} B_{b]} - m h_{[ab]}, & \pi_a &= D_a \varphi - M B_a \\
\omega_{a,bc} &= \frac{1}{2} [T_{ab,c} - T_{ac,b} - T_{bc,a}] - \frac{m}{d-2} [g_{ab} B_c - g_{ac} B_b]
\end{aligned}$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}^a = D_\mu h_\nu^a - D_\nu h_\mu^a$. Using these solutions one can easily derive a number of identities which will be useful in what follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{[a} \pi_{b]} &= -M C_{ab} - m M h_{[ab]}, & D_{[a} C_{bc]} &= -2m D_{[a} h_{bc]} \\
R_{ab,cd} - R_{cd,ab} &= \frac{m}{d-2} [g_{ac} C_{bd} - \dots] + \frac{M^2}{d-2} [g_{ac} h_{[bd]} - \dots] \\
R_{ab} - R_{ba} &= m C_{ab} + M^2 h_{[ab]}
\end{aligned}$$

Here $R_{\mu\nu}^{ab} = D_\mu \omega_\nu^{ab} - D_\nu \omega_\mu^{ab}$, $R_{ab} = R_{ac,b}{}^c$, while dots denote antisymmetrization on ab and cd .

Let us turn to the electromagnetic interactions. Here we also will work with real fields assuming that all of them are doublets now. First of all we introduce minimal interaction replacing all $(A)dS$ covariant derivatives in the Lagrangian and gauge transformations by fully covariant ones, e.g.:

$$D_\mu \xi_a^i \Rightarrow D_\mu \xi_a^i - e_0 \varepsilon^{ij} A_\mu \xi_a^j$$

As usual such replacement spoils the invariance of the Lagrangian under gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{L}_0 &= \frac{e_0}{2}\varepsilon^{ij}\left\{\begin{smallmatrix}\mu\\a\end{smallmatrix}\right\}[\omega_\mu{}^{bci}F^{bc}\xi^{aj} + 2\omega_\mu{}^{abi}F^{bc}\xi^{cj} + h_\mu{}^{ai}F^{bc}\eta^{bcj} + 2h_\mu{}^{bi}F^{bc}\eta^{caj}] + \\ &+ \frac{e_0}{2}\varepsilon^{ij}F^{ab}C_{ab}{}^i\xi^j\end{aligned}\quad (36)$$

Then we proceed reconstructing three derivatives vertices in a frame-like formalism. The main vertex that plays crucial role for massless particle can now be written as follows [10]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{13} = \frac{a_0}{8}\varepsilon^{ij}\left\{\begin{smallmatrix}\mu\nu\\ab\end{smallmatrix}\right\}[\omega_\mu{}^{abi}F^{cd}\omega_\nu{}^{cdj} + \omega_\mu{}^{cdi}F^{cd}\omega_\nu{}^{abj} - 4\omega_\mu{}^{aci}F^{cd}\omega_\nu{}^{bdj} - \omega_\mu{}^{cdi}F^{ab}\omega_\nu{}^{cdj}] \quad (37)$$

while appropriate corrections for gauge transformations have the form:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_1 h_\mu{}^{ai} &= -\frac{a_0}{2}\varepsilon^{ij}[F_\mu{}^b\eta^{baj} + \eta_\mu{}^{bj}F^{ba} + \frac{1}{d-2}e_\mu{}^a(F\eta)^j] \\ \delta_1 A_\mu &= \frac{a_0}{2}\varepsilon^{ij}\omega_\mu{}^{abi}\eta^{abj}\end{aligned}\quad (38)$$

In this, the structure of the Lagrangian and gauge transformations is already adjusted so that variations with highest number of derivatives cancel, but due to non-commutativity of $(A)dS$ covariant derivatives we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{a_0}{2}\varepsilon^{ij}\left[-\frac{1}{2}F^{ab}(R_{ab,cd}{}^i - R_{cd,ab}{}^i)\eta^{cdj} + F^{ac}(R_{ab}{}^i - R_{ba}{}^i)\eta^{bcj}\right] = \\ &= \frac{d-4}{2(d-2)}a_0\varepsilon^{ij}[mC_{ab}{}^iF^{ac}\eta^{bcj} + M^2h_{[ab]}{}^iF^{ac}\eta^{bcj}]\end{aligned}\quad (39)$$

where in the second line we have used identities given above.

Analogously, for the second three derivatives vertex frame-like Lagrangian and corrections to gauge transformations become:

$$\Delta_1\mathcal{L}_{13} = b_0\varepsilon^{ij}\left\{\begin{smallmatrix}\mu\\a\end{smallmatrix}\right\}[2\omega_\mu{}^{abi}F^{bc}\pi^{cj} - 2\omega_\mu{}^{bci}F^{ab}\pi^{cj} + \omega_\mu{}^{bci}F^{bc}\pi^{aj}] \quad (40)$$

$$\Delta\delta_1 A_\mu = -2b_0\varepsilon^{ij}\eta_\mu{}^{ai}\pi^{aj}, \quad \delta_1\varphi^i = \frac{b_0(d-2)}{d-1}\varepsilon^{ij}(F\eta)^j \quad (41)$$

while the non-invariance related with non-commutativity of $(A)dS$ covariant derivatives looks like:

$$-2b_0\varepsilon^{ij}\eta^{abi}F^{bc}D_{[a}\pi_{c]}{}^j = 2b_0M\varepsilon^{ij}\eta^{abi}F^{bc}[C_{ac}{}^j + mh_{[ac]}{}^j] \quad (42)$$

where we again used identities given above. At last the third vertex takes the form:

$$\Delta_2\mathcal{L}_{13} = c_0\varepsilon^{ij}F^{ab}C_{ac}{}^iC_{bc}{}^j \quad (43)$$

Now we turn to reformulation of two derivatives vertex. The most general Lagrangian can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_2 &= \varepsilon^{ij}\left\{\begin{smallmatrix}\mu\\a\end{smallmatrix}\right\}[a_1h_\mu{}^{ai}F^{bc}C^{bcj} + a_2h_\mu{}^{bi}F^{ac}C^{bcj} + a_3h_\mu{}^{bi}F^{bc}C^{acj}] + \\ &+ a_4\varepsilon^{ij}\left\{\begin{smallmatrix}\mu\nu\\ab\end{smallmatrix}\right\}\omega_\mu{}^{aci}F^{bc}B_\nu{}^j + a_5\varepsilon^{ij}\varphi^iF^{ab}C_{ab}{}^j\end{aligned}\quad (44)$$

while the most general form of corrections to gauge transformations looks like:

$$\delta_1 A_\mu = \varepsilon^{ij} [\alpha_1 \eta_\mu^{ai} B_a^j + \alpha_2 C_{\mu a}^i \xi^{aj}], \quad \delta_1 B_\mu^i = \alpha_3 \varepsilon^{ij} F_{\mu a} \xi^{aj} \quad (45)$$

First of all we calculate variations under the ξ -transformations and require their cancellation. This gives:

$$a_2 = a_3 = -2a_1, \quad \alpha_2 = -2a_1, \quad \alpha_3 = -4a_1$$

and leaves us with non-invariance of the form:

$$a_1 \varepsilon^{ij} \partial_a C_{bc}^i [F^{bc} \xi^{aj} - 2F^{ac} \xi^{bj}] = -2ma_1 \varepsilon^{ij} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \mu \\ a \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \omega_\mu^{bci} [2F^{ab} \xi^{cj} + F^{bc} \xi^{aj}] \quad (46)$$

where we again used identities given above. As for the invariance under the η -transformations it requires firstly:

$$a_4 = 0, \quad \alpha_1 = 0$$

It could seem that the absence of a_4 term in the Lagrangian and α_1 term in the gauge transformations contradicts with metric-like formulation of previous section. But in the transition from frame-like to metric-like formalism one has to solve algebraic equations for the auxiliary fields, e.g. for ω field we get $\omega \sim Dh \oplus mB$, so that appropriate terms are already contained in (37) and (38). Secondly, we again obtain a relation

$$\frac{d-4}{d-2} ma_0 + 8mc_0 = 4Mb_0$$

having an ambiguity between flat space and massless limits. As in the previous section, in what follows we restrict ourselves with the unambiguous case $b_0 = 0$, $c_0 = -\frac{d-4}{8(d-2)}a_0$ only.

At last we add to the Lagrangian the most general terms with one derivative:

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \varepsilon^{ij} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \mu\nu \\ ab \end{smallmatrix} \right\} [c_1 h_\mu^{ci} F^{ab} h_\nu^{cj} + c_2 B_\mu^i F^{ab} B_\nu^j] + c_3 \varepsilon^{ij} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \mu \\ a \end{smallmatrix} \right\} h_\mu^{bi} F^{ab} \varphi^j \quad (47)$$

as well as corresponding corrections to gauge transformations:

$$\delta A_\mu = \varepsilon^{ij} [\beta_1 h_\mu^{ai} \xi^{aj} + \beta_2 \varphi^i \xi_\mu^j + \beta_3 B_\mu^i \xi^j] \quad (48)$$

Then we calculate all remaining variations taking into account all terms in (36), (39), (42) and (46). This gives:

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 &= -\frac{a_0 M^2 (d-3)}{d-2} + 2ma_1, & c_1 &= -\frac{a_0 M^2}{4(d-2)} + ma_1, & c_3 &= \frac{4(d-1)}{d-2} Ma_1 \\ c_2 &= \frac{a_0 M^2}{2(d-2)^2} + \frac{m^2 - \kappa(d-1)}{m} a_1, & a_5 &= -\frac{a_0 M(d-1)(d-4)}{4(d-2)^2} + \frac{2(d-1)Ma_1}{m(d-2)} \\ \beta_1 &= -4c_1, & \beta_2 &= c_3, & \beta_3 &= -4c_2 \end{aligned}$$

Exactly as in the previous section we see that all parameters get independent additive contributions from two main parameters a_0 and a_1 . In this, for part of the parameters contribution from a_1 turns out to be singular in the massless limit. So we choose simplest case $a_1 = 0$

admitting non-singular limit $e_0 \rightarrow 0$, $m \rightarrow 0$, $e_0/M^2 = \text{const}$. In this case complete non-minimal vertex has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 = & \frac{a_0}{8} \varepsilon^{ij} \{ \begin{smallmatrix} \mu\nu \\ ab \end{smallmatrix} \} [\omega_\mu^{abi} F^{cd} \omega_\nu^{cdj} + \omega_\mu^{cdi} F^{cd} \omega_\nu^{abj} - 4\omega_\mu^{aci} F^{cd} \omega_\nu^{bdj} - \omega_\mu^{cdi} F^{ab} \omega_\nu^{cdj}] - \\ & - \frac{d-4}{8(d-2)} a_0 \varepsilon^{ij} F^{ab} C_{ac}^i C_{bc}^j - \frac{(d-1)(d-4)}{4(d-2)^2} a_0 M \varepsilon^{ij} \varphi^i F^{ab} C_{ab}^j + \\ & - \frac{a_0 M^2}{4(d-2)} \varepsilon^{ij} \{ \begin{smallmatrix} \mu\nu \\ ab \end{smallmatrix} \} [h_\mu^{ci} F^{ab} h_\nu^{cj} - \frac{2}{d-2} B_\mu^i F^{ab} B_\nu^j] \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

while corresponding corrections to gauge transformations look like:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 h_\mu^{ai} &= -\frac{a_0}{2} \varepsilon^{ij} [F_\mu^b \eta^{baj} + \eta_\mu^{bj} F^{ba} + \frac{1}{d-2} e_\mu^a (F\eta)^j] \\ \delta_1 A_\mu &= \frac{a_0}{2} \varepsilon^{ij} \omega_\mu^{abi} \eta^{abj} + \frac{a_0 M^2}{d-2} \varepsilon^{ij} [h_\mu^{ai} \xi^{aj} - \frac{2}{d-2} B_\mu^i \xi^j] \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Recall that in this case effective electric charge is

$$e_0 = -\frac{d-3}{d-2} a_0 [m^2 - \kappa(d-2)]$$

so that it becomes equal to zero at the boundary of unitary allowed region $m^2 = \kappa(d-2)$.

Conclusion and discussion

We have shown that for massive spin 2 particles in $(A)dS$ space with arbitrary cosmological constant it is indeed possible (at least in the linear approximation) to switch on minimal electromagnetic interactions supplemented by non-minimal ones containing up to three derivatives together with corresponding non-minimal corrections to gauge transformations. We use gauge invariant formulation for such massive particles which works equally well both in flat Minkowski space as well as in $(A)dS$ spaces. This allows us to construct a model that smoothly interpolates between massless particle in $(A)dS$ space [10] and massive one in flat Minkowski space. Indeed, the relation $e_0 \sim a_0 [m^2 - \kappa(d-2)]$ clearly shows that having electric charge e_0 and our main parameter a_0 fixed we could easily obtain both massless limit $m \rightarrow 0$ as well as flat limit $\kappa \rightarrow 0$, in this no singularities arise. Recall that both in a simple illustrative example for massive spin 3/2 particle and in our main results for massive spin 2 particle the relations between electric charge, mass and cosmological term are such that electric charge becomes equal to zero at the boundary of unitary allowed regions in de Sitter space. It will be very interesting to understand whether it is a general feature or just peculiarity of lower spin cases.

In this paper we restrict ourselves with the linear approximation, i.e. with the cubic vertices in the Lagrangian and linear in fields (hence the name) corrections to gauge transformations. Let us stress that results in this approximation do not depend on the presence of any other fields in the system so they are truly model independent. If one goes beyond linear approximation, then two types of corrections appear. From one hand, there will be terms

(both in the Lagrangian and gauge transformations) quadratic, cubic and so on in electromagnetic field strength. Note that in a frame-like formulation linear approximation already contains at least part of such non-linear terms because algebraic equations for auxiliary fields look (symbolically):

$$(1 + F)\omega \sim Dh, \quad (1 + F)C \sim DB$$

But the most important corrections come from the fact that there are non-trivial transformations for the e/m field A_μ itself. This, in turn, leads to the corrections quartic in spin 2 field and it is the consistency of these corrections that may require introduction of (infinitely many) other fields to construct complete consistent theory.

It is instructive to compare our results obtained here with the results of [73, 74, 75]. Authors also use gauge invariant description for massive particles, but they insist that the whole Lagrangian has to be written in terms of gauge invariant combination

$$\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{m}D_{(\mu}B_{\nu)} - \frac{1}{2m^2}D_{(\mu}D_{\nu)}\phi$$

thus completely ignoring the possibility to consider non-minimal corrections for gauge transformations. Clearly, any Lagrangian constructed this way will be trivially gauge invariant, but the price is that it will contain too many derivatives. Moreover, it is clear that such gauge invariant formulation will be equivalent to the initial one and share all its problems. One of the well known problems that arise when one consider massive spin 2 particles in electromagnetic or gravitational background [24, 76] is the (re)appearance of sixth ghost degree of freedom. At the same time the model constructed in this paper has right number of physical degrees of freedom.

For simplicity let us consider flat $d = 4$ Minkowski space and choose a unitary gauge $B_\mu = 0$, $\varphi = 0$. In this gauge the model looks like the usual non-gauge invariant theory for massive spin particle with the free Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 = & \frac{1}{2}D^\alpha h^{\mu\nu}D_\alpha h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}D^\alpha h^{\mu\nu}D_\mu h_{\nu\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}(Dh)^\mu(Dh)_\mu + (Dh)^\mu D_\mu h - \frac{1}{2}D^\mu h D_\mu h - \\ & - \frac{m^2}{2}(h^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu} - h^2) \end{aligned}$$

and linear part of non-minimal vertex having the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 = & a_0 \varepsilon_{ij} F^{\mu\nu} \left[-D_\mu h_{\alpha\beta}^i D_\alpha h_{\beta\nu}^j - \frac{1}{2}D_\alpha h_{\beta\mu}^i D_\alpha h_{\beta\nu}^j + D_\alpha h_{\beta\mu}^i D_\beta h_{\alpha\nu}^j + \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{2}D_\mu h_{\alpha\beta}^i D_\nu h_{\alpha\beta}^j - D_\mu h_{\nu\alpha}^i (Dh)_\alpha^j - \frac{1}{2}(Dh)_\mu^i (Dh)_\nu^j + \\ & \left. + (Dh)_\mu^i D_\nu h^j + D_\mu h_{\nu\alpha}^i D_\alpha h^j - \frac{1}{2}D_\mu h^i D_\nu h^j - \frac{m^2}{4}h_{\mu\alpha}^i h_{\nu\alpha}^j \right] \end{aligned}$$

By straightforward calculations we can show that all usual constraints do follow from the equations of motion. We obtain:

$$D^\nu \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_0}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}} + \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_1}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}} \right) - \frac{a_0}{4} [2D^\alpha F^{\beta\mu} + 2F^{\beta\mu} D^\alpha - 2F^{\alpha\nu} D_\nu g^{\beta\mu} - F^{\mu\nu} D_\nu g^{\alpha\beta}] \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_0}{\delta h_{\alpha\beta}} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -m^2(g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{a_0}{2}F^{\mu\nu})((Dh)_\nu - D_\nu h) = 0 \\
&(D^\mu D^\nu - \frac{m^2}{2})(\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_0}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}} + \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_1}{\delta h_{\mu\nu}}) = -\frac{3m^4}{2}h = 0
\end{aligned}$$

where we have omitted all terms quadratic in $F_{\mu\nu}$ as well as terms proportional to free e/m equation $(DF)_\mu = 0$ as it is appropriate for linear approximation. Thus, though gauge invariance for massive particles does not automatically guarantee the right number of physical degrees of freedom, it indeed can help to construct such models. To our opinion, the right way is to consider not only the most general non-minimal higher derivatives interactions, but also the most general non-minimal corrections to gauge transformations with the additional requirement that algebra of gauge transformations closes. In this, the best strategy is to use minimal number of derivatives possible and avoid trivial solutions related with the substitution $h_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}$. It is interesting to note that the same cubic vertex

$$\mathcal{L} \sim DhFDh$$

may be needed for the theory to be causal [77].

Now let us turn to the behavior of tree amplitudes at high energies. In non-gauge invariant description one has to work with the usual propagator for massive spin 2 particle which has the terms up to $p^\mu p^\nu p^\alpha p^\beta / m^4$ leading, in general, to a very bad high energy behavior. But for some very specific combinations of non-minimal terms one face a number of cancellations. They happen each time when divergency $D^\mu J_{\mu\nu}$ or double divergency $D^\mu D^\nu J_{\mu\nu}$ of the "current" (for spin 2 it also is a second rank tensor) turn out to be proportional to free equations of motion because external legs are on shell. Thus to obtain the correct high energy behavior one have to make careful calculations with the full propagator and appropriate vertices. But as authors of [73, 74, 75] teach us, there is a more simple and elegant way. Let us introduce auxiliary fields B_μ and φ and make our Lagrangian to be gauge invariant. Then working perturbatively we can always choose the gauge (analog of so-called renormalizable gauge for spontaneously broken Yang-Mills theories) where free Lagrangian is diagonal and we have three independent components $h_{\mu\nu}$, B_μ and φ all with the nice propagators $1/(p^2 - m^2)$. Thus the behavior of amplitudes can be easily extracted right from the interacting Lagrangian expressed in terms of these fields. But as we have seen in this paper there are may be different ways to make the same initial Lagrangian to be gauge invariant and, as a result, such estimates may be drastically different.

Let us take the model constructed here and consider simple tree level diagrams like the scattering of massive spin 2 particles due to one-photon exchange or Compton scattering. Then from the cubic vertices we will obtain for both amplitudes $ep^3(1/p^2)ep^3 \rightarrow e^2p^4$, where ep^3 comes from the most hard vertex $eDhFDh$ and $1/p^2$ comes from propagators. But we have to take into account that both amplitudes will gain contributions from quartic vertices. The explicit structure of such vertices crucially depends on the presence or absence of other fields in the system. Let us suppose that we will not introduce any other fields (really it is a worst case because no wonderful cancellations can happen). Then having Argyres-Nappi vertex $(e/m^2)DhFDh$ and gauge transformation of the form $\delta h \sim FD\xi$ and $\delta A \sim DhD\xi$, we will have to introduce at the quadratic approximation two type of quartic vertices. Firstly, we will have second Argyres-Nappi vertex $(e^2/m^4)DhF^2Dh$ which will produce the same

$e^2 p^4$ contribution to Compton scattering. Secondly, we will get quartic vertex of the form $(e^2/m^4)(Dh)^4$ which will again produce the same $e^2 p^4$ contribution to one-photon exchange. Thus (leaving aside a tiny probability of some wonderful cancellation) we expect that both amplitudes will behave like $e^2 p^4$ at high energies. And it seems very natural that it is the model with the right number of physical degrees of freedom and without any ghosts that has the best high energy behavior (compare e.g. [78, 79]).

References

- [1] C. Aragone, S. Deser "*Consistency problem of hypergravity*", Phys. Lett. **B86** (1979) 161.
- [2] D. de Wit, D. Z. Freedman "*Systematics of higher-spin gauge fields*", Phys. Rev. **D21** (1980) 358.
- [3] F. A. Berends, G. J. H. Bugers, H. van Dam "*On the theoretical problems in constructing interactions involving higher-spin massless particles*", Nucl. Phys. **B260** (1985) 295.
- [4] M. Porrati "*Universal Limits on Massless High-Spin Particles*", arXiv:0804.4672.
- [5] E. S. Fradkin, M. A. Vasiliev "*On the gravitational interaction of massless higher-spin fields*", Phys. Lett. **B189** (1987) 89.
- [6] E. S. Fradkin, M. A. Vasiliev "*Cubic interaction in extended theories of massless higher-spin fields*", Nucl. Phys. **B291** (1987) 141.
- [7] Yu. M. Zinoviev "*On spin 3 interacting with gravity*", Class. Quantum Grav. **26** (2009) 035022, arXiv:0805.2226.
- [8] N. Boulanger, S. Leclercq "*Consistent couplings between spin-2 and spin-3 massless fields*", JHEP **0611** (2006) 034, arXiv:hep-th/0609221.
- [9] N. Boulanger, S. Leclercq, P. Sundell "*On The Uniqueness of Minimal Coupling in Higher-Spin Gauge Theory*", JHEP **0808** (2008) 056, arXiv:0805.2764.
- [10] Yu. M. Zinoviev "*On spin 2 electromagnetic interactions*", Mod. Phys. Lett. **A24** (2009) 17, arXiv:0806.4030.
- [11] V. I. Ogievetsky, I. V. Polubarinov "*Interacting field of spin 2 and the Einstein equations*", Ann. Phys. **35** (1965) 167.
- [12] J. Fang, C. Fronsdal "*Deformations of gauge groups. Gravitation*", J. Math. Phys. **20** (1979) 2264.
- [13] K. A. Milton, L. F. Urrutia, R. J. Finkelstein "*Constructive approach to supergravity*", Gen. Rel. Grav. **12** (1980) 67.
- [14] R. M. Wald "*Spin-two fields and general covariance*", Phys. Rev. **D33** (1986) 3613.

- [15] G. Barnich and M. Henneaux "*Consistent couplings between fields with a gauge freedom and deformations of the master equation*", Phys. Lett. **B311** (1993) 123, arXiv:hep-th/9304057.
- [16] M. Henneaux "*Consistent interactions between gauge fields: The cohomological approach*", Contemp. Math. **219** (1998) 93, arXiv:hep-th/9712226.
- [17] X. Bekaert, N. Boulanger, S. Cnockaert and S. Leclercq "*On Killing tensors and cubic vertices in higher-spin gauge theories*", Fortsch. Phys. **54** (2006) 282, arXiv:hep-th/0602092.
- [18] I. L. Buchbinder, A. Fotopoulos, A. C. Petkou, M. Tsulaia "*Constructing the Cubic Interaction Vertex of Higher Spin Gauge Fields*", Phys. Rev. **D74** (2006) 105018, arXiv:hep-th/0609082.
- [19] C. R. Hagen, L. P. S. Singh "*Search for consistent interactions of the Rarita-Schwinger field*", Phys. Rev. **D26** (1982) 393.
- [20] S. Ferrara, M. Porrati, V. L. Telegdi " *$g = 2$ as the natural value of the tree-level gyromagnetic ratio of elementary particles*", Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 3529.
- [21] A. Cucchieri, S. Deser, M. Porrati "*Tree-level unitarity constraints on the gravitational couplings of higher-spin massive fields*", Phys. Rev. **D51** (1995) 4543, arXiv:hep-th/9408073.
- [22] G. Velo and D. Zvanziger "*Propagation and quantization of Rarita-Schwinger waves in an external electromagnetic potential*", Phys. Rev. **D22** (1969) 1337.
- [23] S. Deser, V. Pashutsa, A. Waldron "*Massive spin 3/2 electrodynamics*", Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 105031, arXiv:hep-th/0003011.
- [24] S. Deser, A. Waldron "*Inconsistencies of massive charged gravitating higher spins*", Nucl. Phys. **B631** (2002) 369, arXiv:hep-th/0112182.
- [25] I. L. Buchbinder, V. A. Krykhtin, V. D. Pershin "*On Consistent Equations for Massive Spin-2 Field Coupled to Gravity in String Theory*", Phys. Lett. **B466** (1999) 216, arXiv:hep-th/9908028.
- [26] I. L. Buchbinder, D. M. Gitman, V. A. Krykhtin, V. D. Pershin "*Equations of Motion for Massive Spin 2 Field Coupled to Gravity*", Nucl. Phys. **B584** (2000) 615, arXiv:hep-th/9910188.
- [27] I. L. Buchbinder, D. M. Gitman, V. D. Pershin "*Causality of Massive Spin 2 Field in External Gravity*", Phys. Lett. **B492** (2000) 161, arXiv:hep-th/0006144.
- [28] I. L. Buchbinder, V. A. Krykhtin "*Gauge invariant Lagrangian construction for massive bosonic higher spin fields in D dimensions*", Nucl. Phys. **B727** (2005) 537, arXiv:hep-th/0505092.

- [29] I. L. Buchbinder, V. A. Krykhtin, L. L. Ryskina, H. Takata "Gauge invariant Lagrangian construction for massive higher spin fermionic fields", Phys. Lett. **B641** (2006) 386, arXiv:hep-th/0603212.
- [30] I. L. Buchbinder, V. A. Krykhtin, P. M. Lavrov "Gauge invariant Lagrangian formulation of higher spin massive bosonic field theory in AdS space", Nucl. Phys. **B762** (2007) 344, arXiv:hep-th/0608005.
- [31] I. L. Buchbinder, V. A. Krykhtin, A. A. Reshetnyak "BRST approach to Lagrangian construction for fermionic higher spin fields in (A)dS space", Nucl. Phys. **B787** (2007) 211, arXiv:hep-th/0703049.
- [32] P. Yu. Moshin, A. A. Reshetnyak "BRST approach to Lagrangian formulation for mixed-symmetry fermionic higher-spin fields", JHEP **10** (2007) 040, arXiv:0707.0386.
- [33] I. L. Buchbinder, V. A. Krykhtin, H. Takata "Gauge invariant Lagrangian construction for massive bosonic mixed symmetry higher spin fields", Phys. Lett. **B656** (2007) 253, arXiv:0707.2181.
- [34] Yu. M. Zinoviev "Gauge invariant description of massive high spin particles", Preprint 83-91, IHEP, Protvino, 1983.
- [35] S. M. Klishevich, Yu. M. Zinoviev "On electromagnetic interaction of massive spin-2 particle", Phys. Atom. Nucl. **61** (1998) 1527, arXiv:hep-th/9708150.
- [36] Yu. M. Zinoviev "On Massive High Spin Particles in (A)dS", arXiv:hep-th/0108192.
- [37] R. R. Metsaev "Gauge invariant formulation of massive totally symmetric fermionic fields in (A)dS space", Phys. Lett. **B643** (2006) 205-212, arXiv:hep-th/0609029.
- [38] Yu. M. Zinoviev "On Massive Mixed Symmetry Tensor Fields in Minkowski space and (A)dS", arXiv:hep-th/0211233.
- [39] Yu. M. Zinoviev "First Order Formalism for Massive Mixed Symmetry Tensor Fields in Minkowski and (A)dS Spaces", arXiv:hep-th/0306292.
- [40] P. de Medeiros "Massive gauge-invariant field theories on spaces of constant curvature", Class. Quant. Grav. **21** (2004) 2571, arXiv:hep-th/0311254.
- [41] M. Bianchi, P. J. Heslop, F. Riccioni "More on La Grande Bouffe", JHEP **08** (2005) 088, arXiv:hep-th/0504156.
- [42] K. Hallowell, A. Waldron "Constant Curvature Algebras and Higher Spin Action Generating Functions", Nucl. Phys. **B724** (2005) 453, arXiv:hep-th/0505255.
- [43] I. L. Buchbinder, A. V. Galajinsky "Quartet Unconstrained Formulation for Massive Higher Spin Fields", JHEP **0811** (2008) 081, arXiv:0810.2852.
- [44] S. Deser, A. Waldron "Gauge Invariance and Phases of Massive Higher Spins in (A)dS", Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 031601, arXiv:hep-th/0102166.

- [45] S. Deser, A. Waldron "*Partial Masslessness of Higher Spins in (A)dS*", Nucl. Phys. **B607** (2001) 577, arXiv:hep-th/0103198.
- [46] S. Deser, A. Waldron "*Null Propagation of Partially Massless Higher Spins in (A)dS and Cosmological Constant Speculations*", Phys. Lett. **B513** (2001) 137, arXiv:hep-th/0105181.
- [47] E. D. Skvortsov, M. A. Vasiliev "*Geometric Formulation for Partially Massless Fields*", Nucl. Phys. **B756** (2006) 117, arXiv:hep-th/0601095.
- [48] S. Deser, A. Waldron "*Partially Massless Spin 2 Electrodynamics*", Phys. Rev. **D74** (2006) 084036, arXiv:hep-th/0609113.
- [49] Yu. M. Zinoviev "*On massive spin 2 interactions*", Nucl. Phys. **B770** (2007) 83-106, arXiv:hep-th/0609170.
- [50] R. R. Metsaev "*Gravitational and higher-derivative interactions of massive spin 5/2 field in (A)dS space*", Phys. Rev. **D77** (2008) 025032, arXiv:hep-th/0612279.
- [51] L. P. S. Singh, C. R. Hagen "*Lagrangian formulation for arbitrary spin. 1. The boson case.*", Phys. Rev. **D9** (1974) 898.
- [52] L. P. S. Singh, C. R. Hagen "*Lagrangian formulation for arbitrary spin. 2. The fermion case.*", Phys. Rev. **D9** (1974) 910.
- [53] C. Fronsdal "*Massless fields with integer spin*", Phys. Rev. **D18** (1978) 3624.
- [54] J. Fang, C. Fronsdal "*Massless fields with half integral spin*", Phys. Rev. **D18** (1978) 3630.
- [55] C. Fronsdal "*Singletons and massless, integral spin fields on de sitter space*", Phys. Rev. **D20** (1979) 848.
- [56] J. Fang, C. Fronsdal "*Massless half integer spin fields in de sitter space*", Phys. Rev. **D22** (1980) 1361.
- [57] M. A. Vasiliev "*'Gauge' form of description of massless fields with arbitrary spin*", Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **32** (1980) 439.
- [58] V. E. Lopatin, M. A. Vasiliev "*Free massless bosonic fields of arbitrary spin in d-dimensional de sitter space*", Mod. Phys. Lett. **A3** (1988) 257.
- [59] M. A. Vasiliev "*Free massless fermionic fields of arbitrary spin in d-dimensional de sitter space*", Nucl. Phys. **B301** (1988) 26.
- [60] C. Aragone, S. Deser "*Higher spin vierbein gauge fermions and hypergravities*", Nucl. Phys. **B170** (1980) 329.
- [61] Yu. M. Zinoviev "*First Order Formalism for Mixed Symmetry Tensor Fields*", arXiv:hep-th/0304067.

- [62] K.B. Alkalaev, O.V. Shaynkman, M.A. Vasiliev "On the Frame-Like Formulation of Mixed-Symmetry Massless Fields in $(A)dS(d)$ ", Nucl. Phys. **B692** (2004) 363, arXiv:hep-th/0311164.
- [63] K.B. Alkalaev, O.V. Shaynkman, M.A. Vasiliev "Lagrangian Formulation for Free Mixed-Symmetry Bosonic Gauge Fields in $(A)dS(d)$ ", JHEP **0508** (2005) 069, arXiv:hep-th/0501108.
- [64] K. B. Alkalaev, O. V. Shaynkman, M. A. Vasiliev "Frame-like formulation for free mixed-symmetry bosonic massless higher-spin fields in $AdS(d)$ ", arXiv:hep-th/0601225.
- [65] D. P. Sorokin, M. A. Vasiliev "Reducible higher-spin multiplets in flat and AdS spaces and their geometric frame-like formulation", arXiv:0807.0206.
- [66] E. D. Skvortsov "Frame-like Actions for Massless Mixed-Symmetry Fields in Minkowski space", Nucl. Phys. **B808** (2009) 569, arXiv:0807.0903.
- [67] Yu. M. Zinoviev "Frame-like gauge invariant formulation for massive high spin particles", Nucl. Phys. **B808** (2009) 185, arXiv:0808.1778.
- [68] Yu. M. Zinoviev "Towards frame-like gauge invariant formulation for massive mixed symmetry bosonic fields", Nucl. Phys. **B812** (2009) 46, arXiv:0809.3287.
- [69] N. Boulanger, C. Iazeolla, P. Sundell "Unfolding Mixed-Symmetry Fields in AdS and the BMV Conjecture: I. General Formalism", arXiv:0812.4438.
- [70] N. Boulanger, C. Iazeolla, P. Sundell "Unfolding Mixed-Symmetry Fields in AdS and the BMV Conjecture: II. Oscillator Realization", arXiv:0812.4438.
- [71] T. Garidi "What is mass in desitterian physics?", arXiv:hep-th/0309104.
- [72] S. Deser, A. Waldron "(Dis)continuities of Massless Limits in Spin $3/2$ -mediated Interactions and Cosmological Supergravity", Phys. Lett. **B501** (2001) 134-139, arXiv:hep-th/0012014.
- [73] M. Porrati, R. Rahman "Intrinsic Cutoff and Acausality for Massive Spin 2 Fields Coupled to Electromagnetism", Nucl. Phys. **B801** (2008) 174, arXiv:0801.2581.
- [74] M. Porrati, R. Rahman "Electromagnetically Interacting Massive Spin-2 Field: Intrinsic Cutoff and Pathologies in External Fields", arXiv:0809.2807.
- [75] M. Porrati, R. Rahman "A Model Independent Ultraviolet Cutoff for Theories with Charged Massive Higher Spin Fields", arXiv:0812.4254.
- [76] D. G. Boulware, S. Deser "Can Gravitation Have a Finite Range?" Phys. Rev. **D6** (1972) 3368.
- [77] P. C. Argyres, C. R. Nappi "Massive Spin-2 Bosonic String States in an Electromagnetic Background", Phys. Lett. **B224** (1989) 89.

- [78] C. Deffayet, J.-W. Rombouts "*Ghosts, Strong Coupling and Accidental Symmetries in Massive Gravity*", Phys. Rev. **D72** (2005) 044003, arXiv:gr-qc/0505134.
- [79] P. Creminelli, A. Nicolis, M. Papucci, E. Trincherini "*Ghosts in Massive Gravity*", JHEP **0509** (2005) 003, arXiv:hep-th/0505147.